

Received on: 2023-11-17

Subject: SEIS Near-term Ops--Question about 80% ESP Analysis

Hi all,

Thanks for putting on the webinar today on the Draft SEIS for Near-term operations. I have a question and a request regarding some of the analysis on hydrology.

First, I am curious why the 80% ESP analysis presented today in the Revised Oct Draft SEIS uses the 1999-2002 period and the analysis used in the April Draft SEIS was from 2011-2014. How was that determined and why not use the same 4-year period.

Second, I am wondering if you ran the new trace analysis on the 80% ESP of 2011-2014 hydrology was run with the no action, LB states proposal, and the two original alternatives (Alternative 1 and 2). If so, I am curious what the end-of-month pool elevation graphs look like given the June 2023 hydrology. My sense is that you probably ran this to support your decision not to move forward with the original Alternatives, but am incredibly interested to see it if you can share.

I understand that my questions might be more easily answered on a phone/zoom call, so please feel free to put me in contact with the best person to discuss it with. Thanks so much for your assistance.

Best,

Jen Pelz

303-884-2702

--

Jen Pelz

Water Advocacy Director

Grand Canyon Trust | 2601 N. Fort Valley Road | Flagstaff, AZ 86001

Direct: 928-286-3382 | Office: 928-774-7488 | [www.grandcanyontrust.org](http://www.grandcanyontrust.org)

Safeguarding the wonders of the Grand Canyon and the Colorado Plateau, while supporting the rights of its Native peoples.